

DETO 15959 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

For the

Eastern District of Michigan

Judge: Edmunds, Nancy G
MJ: Morgan, Virginia M
Filed: 01-15-2010 At 02:54 PM
CMP AGEE V. WELLS FARGO BANK ET AL
(DA)

VS.

PLAINTIFF(S)

WELLS FARGO BANK

DEFENDANT(S)

ADMIRALTY

Case:2:10-cv-10197

AFFIDAVIT OF NEGATIVE AVERMENT,

OPPORTUNITY TO CURE, AND COUNTERCLAIM

Comes now Linda Agee, Plaintiff (hereinafter, "Libellant"), by special visitation and not appearing generally, before this court seeking a remedy in Admiralty as is provided by "The Saving to the Suitors Clause" at USC 28 -1333(1). I am standing in my unlimited commercial liability as a Secured Party Creditor and request that the Defendant(s) do the same, and waive

all of their immunities. I respectfully request the indulgence of this court as I am not schooled in law. This is provided by the precedent set by Haines vs. Kerner at 404 U.S. 519.

AS TO COUNT 1: I, Linda Agee, the Plaintiff, Secured Party Creditor, a Natural woman created by God, Demand that Defendant(s), WELLS FARGO BANK (Hereinafter "WELLS FARGO"), produce their Proof of Claim. I demand to inspect the "Original Mortgage Note", with wet ink signature, along with the Title Page that shows whether or not the mortgage has been satisfied. I believe that WELLS FARGO has sold the original note and failed to give credit to my account. This note was created on my credit and signature, and was not an asset of Citi Residential Lending. I believe the defendant(s) have not been damaged and have no legal right to a claim. As you well know, Proof of Claim must be established by law. Only the Original Mortgage Note will be accepted as proof of claim. If the Defendant(s) have the original mortgage note with the wet ink signature let them bring it forth and offer their Proof of Claim for my inspection. I believe the Defendant(s) DO NOT have lawful Proof of Claim and there is no evidence to the contrary. This is Dishonor in commerce, Theft, Fraud, Conspiracy, and Racketeering.

AS TO COUNT 2: I, Linda Agee tendered a lawful note to WELLS FARGO and WELLS FARGO has chosen to dishonor my lawful Note and have refused to zero the account. Lawful Money no longer is available for payment of debt in our economic system. Notes are considered as Legal Tender for debts, according to the Code. This is a Dishonor in Commerce, Fraud, Theft of Public Funds, Racketeering, and Conspiracy, and I believe there is no evidence to the contrary.

AS TO COUNT 3: I, Linda Agee have no record or evidence that the process used by WELLS FARGO to collect recompense or compel performance from Libellant: (a) is not defective; (b) does not contain **un-Verified** amounts for cure, redemption and payoff; and (c) is not a Counterfeit Security. This is Dishonor in commerce, fraud and I believe there is no evidence to the contrary.

AS TO COUNT 4: I, Linda Agee have no record or evidence that WELLS FARGO has acquired an interest in an alleged Promissory Note and Mortgage (Contract) nor have they provided to Libellant assurance and a Verified Statement of Account under the Generally Accepted Accounting Principals that WELLS FARGO has an interest in the alleged contract and is the Holder in Due Course of any claim against Libellant or Libellant's property. This is a Dishonor in Commerce, Fraud and Conspiracy, and I believe there is no evidence to the contrary.

AS TO COUNT 5: I, Linda Agee have no record or evidence that WELLS FARGO has provided to Libellant evidence that the original parties to the contract are in receipt of "full disclosure" regarding the nature of the transaction and the bookkeeping entries of the Original Creditor which indicate that someone other than Libellant is the party that funded the alleged loan. This is Dishonor in commerce and Fraud I believe there is no evidence to the contrary.

AS TO COUNT 6: I, Linda Agee have no record or evidence of any contractual agreement that grants permission to WELLS FARGO to deny Libellant Equal Protection under the alleged Contract. This is Dishonor in commerce and I believe there is no evidence to the contrary.

OPPORTUNITY TO CURE

The Defendants have 14 calendar days to cure their Dishonor by the Following:

- 1. Dismiss any and all claims against the Plaintiff, with prejudice, quiet title on Libellant's property and pay the Plaintiffs \$100,000.00 (One Hundred Thousand US dollars) as is designated in the counterclaim herein, OR,
- Pay all damages as indicated by the counterclaim contained herein with Real Money, Surrender any and all Public Hazard Bonds, other Bonds, Insurance Policies, 801K, CAFRA Funds, etc. as needed to satisfy counterclaim herein, OR,
- 3. Prove your claims against me by providing me with lawfully documented evidence that is certified true and correct, by (Officers of the Court), in their unlimited commercial liability, while Under Oath, On and For the Official Record, under penalties of the law including Perjury. This evidence must prove your case by preponderance or the greater weight of evidence and must answer each and every averment, Point by Point individually. If any and all points are not answered fully and accompanied by lawfully documented evidence, as provided herein, that will be Default on the part of the Third Party defendants. Non Response according to the conditions herein will be default. Incomplete answers and/ or lack of documented evidence as outlined herein will be Default. If the Defendants fail to respond as outlined herein, within 14 calendar days, this will be Default. Non Response will be a Self Executing Confession of Judgment by all Defendants, and will be complete agreement with all the statements, terms, and conditions of this contract. This is a contract in Admiralty. Any officer of the court that

interferes or involves him / her self with this claim and/or dismisses this Negative Averment, Opportunity to Cure and Counterclaim contained herein, without express written or oral permission from Plaintiff, will be charged with conspiracy to commit fraud. Libellant has no record or evidence that, in part, Libellant's remedy is not provided within the Supplemental Rules of Admiralty, wherein the Remedy to a hostile presentment, which is a criminal scienter act, is to file a Certificate of Exigency with the Clerk of the Court (Warrant Officer), who is then compelled by law to issue warrants for the arrest of any and all offenders. All offenders will be added to this claim and become a Defendant. All Defendants are collectively and individually liable for this claim.

COUNTERCLAIM

THE FOLLOWING DAMAGES HAVE BEEN ASSESSED AGAINST YOU SHOULD YOU FAIL TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AS PROVIDED IN THE OPPORTUNITY TO CURE CONTAINED HEREIN:

- 1. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted \$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars) per count Per Defendant.
- 2. Failure to respond as outlined herein \$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars.) per count Per Defendant.
- 3. Default by non response or incomplete response \$1,000,000.00 (One Million Dollars) per count Per Defendant.
- 4. Dishonor In Commerce \$1,000,000.00 (One Million Dollars) per count per Defendant.
- 5. Fraud \$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars) per count per Defendant.
- 6. Racketeering \$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars) per count per Defendant.
- 7. Theft of Public Funds -\$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars) per count per Defendant.
- 8. Failure to pay Counterclaim in full within (30) Thirty Calendar Days of Default as contained herein. \$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars), per month, and interest of 1.5 % per month compounded daily for the first (30)
 - Thirty Days from the date of default. After (30) Thirty Days, beginning on the (31st) Thirty first Day after Default, the penalties for Failure to pay will increase by \$100,000.00 (One Hundred Thousand Us Dollars Per Day) for each calendar day that this counterclaim is not paid in full, plus interest. After (90) calendar days of the date of Default, the penalties for Failure to Pay Counterclaim will increase by \$1,000,000.00 (One Million US Dollars) per calendar day, that the Counterclaim is not paid in full, plus interest as indicated herein.
- 9. All Claims are stated in US Dollars which means that a US Dollar will be defined, for the purposes of this counterclaim as, a One Ounce Silver coin of 99.999% pure silver, or the

equivalent par value as established by law or the exchange rate as set by the US Mint, whichever is the higher amount, for a certified One Ounce Silver Coin (US Silver Dollar) at the time of the first day of default as outlined herein, if the claim is to be paid in Federal Reserve Notes, Federal Reserve notes will only be accepted at Par Value as indicated above.

10. Total Damages will be assessed as the total amount of the damages as outlined herein times three (3) for a total of all damages as outlined in items 1-5 added to three (3) times the damages, for Punitive, or other additional damages.

By: Autograph of Linda Agee, Creditor, Secured Party, Real Party in Interest, lawful woman.

JURAT

Michigan State)		
WAYNE County)	SS	

The above named Affiants/Libellants appeared before me, a Notary, subscribed, sworn

under oath this 4th day of JANUALY, 2009.

Claim Woods

Notary

ELAINE WILLS

Notary Public - Michigan

Wayne County

My Commission Expires

September 15, 2011

Seal

NOTICE TO OFFICERS/AGENTS OF THE UNITED STATES

THIS COUNTERCLAIM IS NOT INTENDED TO HARASS, INTIMIDATE, OFFEND, CONSPIRE, BLACKMAIL, COERCE, CAUSE ANXIETY, ALARM OR DISTRESS, OR IMPEDE PUBLIC PROCEDURES. THIS IS PRESENTED WITH HONORABLE AND PEACEFUL INTENTIONS TO FACILITATE SETTLEMENT AND CLOSURE OF THIS ACCOUNT. ANY AFFIRMATION CONTRARY TO THIS VERIFIED STATEMENT OF FACTS COMPRISES YOUR STIPULATION TO COMMITTING A FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which action arose

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as proby local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of init the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	A	DEFENDANTS	- · · ·	
LINDA	Agee, pro se	WEIIS	FARGO BA	NK, et al
• • •	ce of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	NLY)
14440 (COT/15/E T, MI, 48205 nc, Address, and Telephone Number)	Case:2:10-cv-1019 Judge: Edmunds, MJ: Morgan, Virgir Filed: 01-15-2010 <i>A</i>	07 Nancy G nia M At 02:54 PM	THE
			LLS FARGO BANK ET A	\L ;
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICATON (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	IIII. (DA)		k for P.
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State	FF DEF 1 O 1 Incorporated or Prin of Business In This	
CJ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	060
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	an an Early Room English As NAS Estimate	I SANGER E	TENERS INTO THE
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY Of 310 Airplane Of 315 Airplane Product Liability Of 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Of 330 Federal Employers' Liability Of 340 Marine Of 345 Marine Of 345 Marine Of 345 Marine Of 346 Assault, Libel & Liability Of 345 Marine Of 345 Marine Of 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic Of 365 Personal Injury Of 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability Of 346 Marine Of 347 Marine Of 347 Marine Of 348 Assault, Libel & Liability Of 349 Marine Of 349 Marine Of 340 Marine	1 10 Agriculture 1 1 20 Other Food & Drug 2 1 20 Other Food & Drug 3 1 20 Expressed Seizure 2 1 20 Expressed Seizure 3 Expressed Seizure 3 Expressed Seizure 3 Expressed Seizure 3 Expressed Seizure 4 Expressed Seizure 5 Expressed Seizure 6 Expressed Seizure 7 Expressed Seizure 8 Expressed Seizure 9 Express	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWCDIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionmes □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodit Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actic □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilizati □ 893 Environmental Matt □ 894 Energy Allocation A □ 895 Freedom of Informat Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Detern Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
1 Original 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth (speci		Appeal to I. Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you Brief description of cause:	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE V-14-12010 //	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	war Charles			
RECEIPT #	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed	?	Yes
If yes, giv	e the following information:		∐ No
Court:			
Case No.:			
•			
	Other than stated above, are there any pending of discontinued or dismissed companion cases in the court, including state court? (Companion cases a it appears substantially similar evidence will be or or related parties are present and the cases arise transaction or occurrence.)	is or any other are matters in whic affered or the same	
If yes, give	the following information:		
Court:			
Case No.:			
		<u>-</u>	
Votes:			